Case 2:13-cr-00346-APG-GWF Document 49 Filed 01/20/15 Page 1 of 6

24 | //

25 //

- 2. Counsel has spoken to AUSA Andrew Duncan and he has no objection to the continuance.
- 3. There are pending matters that have not yet been resolved namely the return of items taken from defendant and whether the government, the ATF or another federal law-enforcement agency has the possession, custody or control of computers or computer hard drives belonging to defendant Carn and or The Gun Vault, Inc.
- Defendant Carn is still trying to acquire evidence that was recovered by the Las Vegas Metro Police Department.
- 5. The parties need additional time to resolve the issue of the missing items and adequately prepare for trial.
- 6. Denial for this request for continuance would deny the parties herein time and the opportunity within which to effectively and thoroughly research and prepare for trial in this case, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- 7. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance would result in a miscarriage of justice.
- 8. For all the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the trial date.
- 9. The additional time requested by this stipulation, is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. 3161(h)(7) (A), considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. 3161 (h)(7)(B)(i) and 3161 (h)(7)(B)(iv).

1 10. This is the fourth request for a continuance of the trial date in this case. 2 Dated this 14th day of January, 2015. Dated this 14th day of January, 2015. 3 CRAIG K. PERRY & ASSOCIATES 4 DANIEL G BOGDEN UNITED STATES ATTORNEY /s/ Craig K. Perry, Esq. 5 /s/ Andrew Duncan, Esq. 6 CRAIG K. PERRY, Esq. ANDREW DUNCAN, Esq. 8010 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 260 ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 333 Las Vegas Boulevard South #5000 Attorney for Defendant Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 KELLY CARN Attorney for Plaintiff USA 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

24

25

	Case 2:13-cr-00346-APG-GWF Docum	nent 49 Filed 01/20/15 Page 4 of 6
3 3 4 5	Nevada Bar No. 3786 info@1stoplawfirm.com CRAIG K. PERRY & ASSOCIATES 8010 W. Sahara Ave., Suite 260 Las Vegas, NV 89117 Telephone (702) 228-4777 Fax: (702) 943-7520 Attorney for Defendant	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8	***	
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	2:13-cr-00346-APG-GWF
10	Plaintiff,	
11	Vs.	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE CALENDAR CALL AND TRIAL
12	KELLY CARN,	
14	Defendants.	(FOURTH REQUEST)
15		
16	FINDINGS OF FACT	
17	Based on the pending Stimulation of coursel and	
18	Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds:	
19	This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:	
20	Defendant is not in custody. Counsel has spoken to defendant and he does not	
21	object to the continuance.	
22	2. Counsel has spoken to AUSA Andrew Duncan and he has no objection to the	
23	continuance.	
24	///	
25	///	

- 3. There are pending matter that have not yet been resolved namely the return of items taken from defendant and whether the government, the ATF or another federal law-enforcement agency has the possession, custody or control of computers or computer hard drives belonging to defendant Carn and or The Gun Vault, Inc.
- 4. Defendant Carn is still trying to acquire evidence that was recovered by the Las Vegas Metro Police Department.
- 5. The parties need additional time to resolve the issue of the missing items and adequately prepare for trial.
- 6. Denial for this request for continuance would deny the parties herein time and the opportunity within which to effectively and thoroughly research and prepare for trial in this case, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- 7. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance would result in a miscarriage of justice.
- 8. For all the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the trial date.
- 9. The additional time requested by this stipulation, is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. 3161(h)(7) (A), considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. 3161 (h)(7)(B)(i) and 3161 (h)(7)(B)(iv).
- 10. This is the fourth request for a continuance of the trial date in this case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The end of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendants in a speedy trial since the failure to grant said continuance would likely result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity within

Case 2:13-cr-00346-APG-GWF Document 49 Filed 01/20/15 Page 6 of 6